

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002

			· -	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/675,863	09/29/2000	Gary Dan Dotson	00AB007 (81696/234)	9372
759	00 11/29/2002			
Attention John J Horn Rockwell Technologies LLC Patent Dept 704P Floor 8 T 29			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T	
1201 South Seco Milwaukee, WI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i></i>							
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/675,863	DOTSON, GARY DAN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kimnhung Nguyen	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHs cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  60) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	<b>,</b>	•				
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-14</u> is/are rejected.						
í	☑ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.					
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen		. , ,	,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Statement and Tradement Office.							

Application/Control Number: 09/675,863

Art Unit: 2674

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This application has been examined. The original claims 1-14 are pending. The examination results are as following.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

In claim 5, lines 19-22, what is meant by "wherein the determined amount is defined by a perimeter of a region that surrounds the first location, and wherein the determining step comprises determining whether the location is outside the perimerter". From the claim, it is not clear. Correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/675,863

Art Unit: 2674

3. Claims 1-2, 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wolfe et al. (US patent 6,037,930).

Regarding claims 1-2 and 7, Wolfe et al. disclose in figures 1-3 that a method of processing an input from a touch plane operator input device comprising: (A) determining a first location (X and Y) of a first touch on the touch plane operator input device (by touch sensitive pad); determining a second location (X and Y) location of a second touch on the touch plane operator input device (by touch sensitive pad); (C) comparing the first and second locations to obtain an indication of an amount of difference between the first and second location (because X and Y of the first touch different with X and Y of the second touch); (D) therefore, they determine the indication of amount of difference exceeds a predetermined amount (by delta X and delta Y); wherein the determining steps (A)-(D) are performed by discrete logic circuitry (see column 3, lines 38-47); and wherein the discrete logic circuitry provides an microprocessor when the indication of the amount of difference excess the predetermined amount (see figures 2 and 17A-17B)

Regarding claims 4-5, Wolfe et al. disclose that the method comprising displaying a mouse pointer (because the mouse mode can push the cursor moving on the screen, see column 1, lines 42-47 and column 3, lines 47-49) from the first location to the second location on a display (see column 1, lines 42-47 and column 3, lines 38-49

Regarding claim 6, Wolfe et al. disclose the steps (A)-(D) are performed under the control of a state machine implement in the discrete logic circuit (see column 3, lines 38-47).

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claims 8-9, Wolfe et al. disclose that wherein the touch plane operator input device forms at least part of an operator interface of an internet or industrial control system (see column 1, lines 56-58).

Regarding claims 10-12, Wolfe et al. disclose that wherein the touch plane interface is located on a system-on-chip integrated circuit chip, wherein the microprocessor is located on the integrated circuit chip (see figures 2 and 17A-17B, column 9, lines 9-25), and comprises a touch screen (see abstract), and the touch plane comprises an inherent touch pad because the system having mouse mode).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolfe et al. (US patent 6,037,930) in view of Chambers et al. (US patent 6,445,383).

Regarding claims 13-14, Wolfe et al. disclose in figures 2 and 17A-17B that an integrated circuit or a device comprising a microprocessor (see column 9, lines 9-25); a touch screen interface (touch sensor 1), the touch screen interface being adapted to interface the microprocessor to touch screen; and a digital processor coupled between the touch screen interface and the microprocessor, the digital signal processor being adapted to determined a location of a touch on the touch screen. However, Wolfe et al. do not disclose that the digital processor including a comparator. Chambers et al. disclose in figure 4 that a

Application/Control Number: 09/675,863

Art Unit: 2674

comparator circuit (302) which detects the touch screen system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Chambers et al. for the comparator in the system device of Wolfe et al. because this would provide an electronic touch screen to wake up from it quiescent low power state and return to its normal operations.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

None of the cited art teaches or suggests that a method of processing an input from a touch plane operator input device, wherein the step of determining the X-location and the Y-location of the first touch comprises acquiring a first plurality of data samples from the touch plane, calculating the X-location of the first touch by determining an average X-location for the first plurality of data samples, and calculating the Y-location of the touch by determining an average Y-location of the first plurality of data samples; and wherein the step of determining the X-location and the Y-location of the second touch comprises acquiring a second plurality of data samples from the touch plane, calculating the X-location of the second touch by determining an average X-location for the second plurality of data samples, and calculating the Y-location of the touch by determining an average Y-location for the second plurality of data samples.

Page 6

# Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number (703) 308-0425.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A HJERPE can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kimnhung Nguyen November 26, 2002

PROVINCE RELIGION OF THE SUPERIOR OF THE SUPER